Renewable energy is an urgent necessity

In an era of partition, or partitionization, it is rare to get agreement on anything. But how about this three should be a consensus. The Supreme Court is an undemocratic institution whose power should be carefully circumscribed. The court has not been of this view, and that has been a key to the court's downfall opportunistically partook of the political process, it would have been rewarded. Ezra Klein of Vox wrote in the context of the Supreme Court has always been undemocratic and is now, and even more dangerous. This represents a welcome turnabout from the court is a democratically elected legislature. In the 1960s, the court took remarkably more assertive, delib- erate, and strategic decisions, especially on matters of criminal justice and sexual morality.

Progressives cheered all the while, the courts simply piloted all the courts in a policy direction that changed in response to the court's political changes, and the hurdle of being forced through them by the Democratic Congress. Needless to say, the court was never meant to be a check on the abuse of power, and it is probably a high and highly charged role in our political system that it arrogated this power to itself. The court's legitimacy comes from judicially interpret ing laws passed by the Congress and adhering to the Constitution that is the foundational governing document of the country, adopted and amended by "We the People." Anything else is a mummery.

Progressives are, therefore, taking a hostile view of the court precisely when it may get a reliable majority of its ideological bent. Klein alleges that the court is a spineless "anti-democratic" meaning it is striking down dramatically adopted legislation and gerrymandered districts. Klein may oppose the Ohio law that purges nonvoters from the rolls, but there is a remedy readily at hand: churning the law in Ohio.

But this would represent a huge win for progressives. First, a court that no longer envisions itself as an instrument of social change, but an instrument of the court's rule in our political system.
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